

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,992	08/26/2003	Shawn D. Spitzer	4220-A1C	2489
45848 75	590 12/14/2005		EXAM	INER
	'INFIELD GOLTRY RAL AVENUE, SUITE	3 1220	GARRETT, ERIKA P	
PHOENIX, AZ 85012			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/647,992	SPITZER, SHAWN D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Erika Garrett	3636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Se	entember 2005					
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1, 3-5 is/are rejected.	P 12/9/05.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	· .					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
,						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
. spor 110/0/111011 0010	3,					

Application/Control Number: 10/647,992

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takamatsu in view of Melone (5,707,107). Takamatsu discloses the use of a seat (10) including a seat portion (11) and an attached seat back portion (12); a top (19), having a lower end, fitted over the seat back portion and a uniform bottom (17), having a rearward end, fitted over the seat portion; an engagement assembly (21) carried by one of the lower end of the uniform top and the rearward end of the uniform bottom; a complemental engagement assembly (21e, 21c) carried the other of the lower end of the uniform top and the rearward end of the uniform bottom; and the engagement assembly detachably engaged to the complemental engagement assembly detachably engaging the lower end of the uniform top to the rearward end of the uniform bottom. Takamatsu shows the use of all the claimed invention but fails to show the use of the uniform top and bottom support uniform adornment that identifies a member of an organization and a neck opening. Melone teaches the use of the uniform top and bottom support uniform adornment that identifies a member of an organization, a neck opening, and arm openings. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 3636

art at the time of invention to modify the uniform top and bottom with adornment as taught by Melone, in order to show support and team spirit to the organization.

Claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takamatsu as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Estes (4,694,511). Takamatsu shows the use of all the claimed invention but fails to show the use of and arm openings on either side the neck openings. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the apparatus with arm openings as taught by Estes, in order for the occupant to wear the top.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-5 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to applicant's argument that Takamatsu fails to show "a top having a lower end, fitted over the seat back portion and a bottom having a rearward end fitted over the seat portions", the applicant attention is drawn to the claim language as it recite the language "fitted over a seat back portion and fitted over a seat portion".

Therefore, the examiner is of the opinion that Takamatsu does in fact show the top covering a seat back portion on figure 1 and a bottom fitted over the seat portion.

In response to applicant's argument that Takamatsu fails to show "a seat portion and an attached seat back portion". The applicant attention is drawn to figures 1-2 of Takamatsu where it show the seat portion and seat back portion attached to each other.

Art Unit: 3636

The examiner is of the opinion that Takamatsu does in fact show a seat portion and an attached seat back portion.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erika Garrett whose telephone number is 571-272-6859. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pete Cuomo can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,992

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 7, 2005

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3600

Page 5